



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,416	08/29/2003	David Edwin Zapp	DEZ 001 P2	6570
7590 01/24/2008				
Patrick P. Phillips Kremblas, Foster, Phillips & Pollick 7632 Slate Ridge Boulevard Reynoldsburg, OH 43068				
			EXAMINER	
			PRICE, CARL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/650,416

Applicant(s)

ZAPP, DAVID EDWIN

Examiner

CARL D. PRICE

Art Unit

3749

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CARL D. PRICE.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Phillips (Reg. No. -29690).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: na.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,6,9,14 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: US004338915 (Martonfi); US003915144 (Tomita); US005197455 (Tessien).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Differences between the prior art and the claimed invention were discussed. In particular, the discussion was directed to the manner in which the claimed first and second members necessarily cooperate with each other, as compared to the prior art. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Carl D. Price
Primary Examiner

Examiner's signature, if required